

Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour Phase 2

Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Calibration and Characterisation of Ocean Colour Radiometers

20 - 22 May 2025

Tartu Observatory, University of Tartu / MS Teams
Tõravere, Estonia

Title	<i>Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Calibration and Characterisation of Ocean Colour Radiometers</i>
Document reference	FRM4SOC2- OPT2-D23b
Project	EUMETSAT – FRM4SOC Phase 2, Optional Extension 2
Contact No.	EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG
Deliverable	D-23b
ATTN	Juan Ignacio Gossn
Version	1.1
Date issued	01.10.2025


Prepared By		Approved by	
Name:	Riho Vendt	Name:	Juan Ignacio Gossn
Organisation:	University of Tartu	Organisation:	EUMETSAT
Position:	FRM4SOC Phase 2 Project Manager	Position:	FRM4SOC Phase 2 Project Officer
Date:		Date:	
Signature:		Signature:	



PROGRAMME OF
THE EUROPEAN UNION



IMPLEMENTED BY

 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 1 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	---

Document Control Table

Title	Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Calibration and Characterisation of Ocean Colour Radiometers
Document reference	FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b
Project	EUMETSAT – FRM4SOC Phase 2
Contract No.	EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG
Deliverable	D-23b
Version	1
Date Issued	23.07.2025


Document Change Record

Index	Issue	Revision	Date	Brief description	Issued by
1	0	0	07.07.2025	First DRAFT	Riho Vendt
2	0	1	23.07.2025	DRAFT after UT internal review	Riho Vendt
3	1	0	23.07.2025	Original issue	Riho Vendt
4	1	1	01.10.2025	Amended with comments from participants	Riho Vendt

Distribution List

Company/Organisation	Name	Format
UT	Riho Vendt Viktor Vabson Ilmar Ansko Krista Alikas Joel Kuusk	.docx (MS Word) file
Brockmann Consult	Carsten Brockmann Uwe Lange	.docx (MS Word) file
CNR	Vittorio Brando	.docx (MS Word) file
EOScience	Giuseppe Zibordi	.docx (MS Word) file
NPL	Agnieszka Bialek	.docx (MS Word) file
EUMETSAT	Ewa Kwiatkowska Juan Gossn	.docx (MS Word) file



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 2 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	---

Acronyms and Abbreviations

Acronym	Description
Cal/Char	Calibration and Characterisation
CEOS	Committee on Earth Observation Satellites
CNR	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (Italy)
CNRS	Centre national de la recherche scientifique (France)
CONICET	Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (Argentina)
DALEC	Dynamic Above-water Radiance (<i>L</i>) and Irradiance (<i>E</i>) Collector
DLR	Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt
EC	European Commission
EUMETSAT	European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites
ESA	European Space Agency
FidRadDB	Fiducia Reference Measurement Radiometer Database
FRM	Fiducial Reference Measurements
FRMOCnet	Fiducial Reference Measurements Ocean Colour Network
FRM4SOC	Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour
GEO	Group on Earth Observations
HCMR	Hellenic Centre for Marine Research
HyperCP	Hyperspectral In situ Support for PACE (HyperInSPACE) Community Processor
IAFE	Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio
IMEV	L'Institut de la Mer de Villefranche
IMO	In-Situ Marine Optics
INTI	Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Industrial
IOCCG	International Ocean Colour Coordination Group
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
JiAR	Joint Inter-Agency Request
JRC	Joint Research Centre
LOV	Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NPL	National Physical Laboratory
OC	Ocean Colour
OCDB	Ocean Colour in Situ Database
OCR	Ocean Colour Radiometer
PTB	Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt
RMRD	Reflectance Measurement Requirements Document
QA	Quality Assurance
QA4EO	Quality Assurance Network for Earth Observation
QC	Quality Control
SI	Système International d'Unités
So-Rad	Solar Tracking Radiometry Platform
UBA	Universidad de Buenos Aires
UT	University of Tartu
TO	Tartu Observatory, University of Tartu




PROGRAMME OF
THE EUROPEAN UNION



IMPLEMENTED BY




 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 3 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	---

Contents

Document Control Table.....	1
Document Change Record	1
Distribution List.....	1
Acronyms and Abbreviations	2
Contents	3
1. Scope	4
2. Introduction	4
3. Objectives of the workshop	5
4. Programme.....	5
5. Rationale and requirements for Cal/Char of OCR.....	8
5.1 Requirements	8
5.2 Reaching FRM.....	8
5.3 IOCCG Joint Inter-Agency Request to OCR Manufacturers	9
6. Calibration and characterisation of OCR.....	9
6.1 Individual and class-based characterisation.....	9
6.2 Guidelines.....	9
6.3 Measurement capability of laboratories	10
6.4 FEL lamps	10
6.5 ReflectancePanels	11
6.6 Comparison measurements	11
7. FidradDB	12
8. HyperCP	12
8.1 Processing regimes.....	13
8.2 Evaluation of the drift of OCR properties between calibrations.....	13
8.3 General notes	13
9. Manufacturers view.....	14
10. Cal/Char lab view	14
11. Achieving FRM-compliant laboratory status.....	15
12. Guidelines and recommendations for the manufacturers of OCR	16
13. Conclusions	17



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 4 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	---

1. Scope

This document corresponds to the deliverable D-23b, “Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Calibration and Characterisation of Ocean Colour Radiometers” as described in the Statement of Work of the second optional extension of the FRM4SOC Phase 2 project and provides a summary on the workshop held from 20 to 22 May 2025 at Tartu Observatory, University of Tartu, Estonia.

2. Introduction

The quality of satellite Ocean Colour (OC) data products and user services relies on the quality of in situ radiometric measurements used in algorithm development and product validations. Space-borne instruments must be accurately calibrated and characterised before launch, monitored while in space, and additionally vicariously calibrated. Calibration and characterisation activities are also performed on in situ Ocean Colour Radiometers (OCR) so that the community can rely on the validation and the algorithms that define the performance of satellite missions [1], [2].

Fiducial Reference Measurements (FRM) are

*“A suite of **independent, fully characterised, and traceable** (to a **community agreed reference**, ideally **SI**) measurements of a **satellite relevant measurand**, tailored specifically to address the **calibration/validation needs** of a class of satellite-borne sensors, and following the guidelines outlined by the **GEO/CEOS Quality Assurance framework for Earth Observation (QA4EO)**” [3]*


The concept involves a series of requirements on measurement procedures and instruments to ensure documented traceability to SI units via an unbroken chain of calibrations, the assessment of instrument-related uncertainties and a series of recommended characterisations.

In this context, the European Space Agency (ESA) funded the first phase of the FRM4SOC (Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour, 2016 – 2019) project to improve ocean colour validation through a series of proof-of-concept tasks [4]. The FRM4SOC Phase 2, funded by the European Commission and implemented by EUMETSAT, was launched in April 2021 [5].

The overarching goal of the FRM4SOC initiative is to promote the adoption of FRM principles across the OC community towards enhancing satellite product validation and algorithm development. To achieve this goal, the project team focuses on the following tasks:

1. Provide practical *guidelines and procedures* for calibration, characterisation, use of radiometric instruments, best practices in the field, and how to derive the uncertainty budget of the acquired measurements.
2. Provide tools to process radiometric field measurements with associated uncertainties (e.g. HyperCP [6]) and *databases* to store results of *calibrations* (e.g. FidRadDB [7]) and *field measurements* (e.g. OCDB [8]).



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 5 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	---

3. *Test and validate* what is being implemented, achieved by means of *laboratory and field inter-comparison experiments*.
4. *Broadcast* the guidelines and tools to the OC community. This is mainly achieved through workshops and training events.

Guided by these tasks, **the Second Workshop on Calibration and Characterisation of Ocean Colour Radiometers was hosted by the University of Tartu from 20 to 22 May 2025, at Tartu Observatory, Tõravere, Estonia.**

3. Objectives of the workshop

The main objective of the workshop was to reach out to the interested parties working on the calibration and characterisation (Cal/Char) of OCR (Figure 1) and discuss the following topics:

- present and future challenges in calibration and characterisation of OCR, e.g.
 - calibration and characterisation principles, facilities, and methods,
 - data acquisition, processing, and formats,
 - evaluation of uncertainties for different calibration equipment, measurement conditions and methods,
 - development of metrologically sound as well as operationally achievable uncertainty budgets,
- the requirements to achieve FRM quality of in situ measurements for satellite data validation (need for calibration and characterisation of OCR),
- existing guidelines, procedures, tools, and best laboratory practices for OCR calibration and characterisation,
- organisation of future comparison measurements,
- knowledge exchange on the methods, procedures and facilities,
- visit the calibration and characterisation laboratories at Tartu Observatory (TO) of the University of Tartu (UT),
- improvement and harmonisation of the developed guidelines, procedures and tools.

4. Programme

The workshop gathered different interest groups of the OC community (Figure 1) – the leading experts in the field, representatives of agencies (EUMETSAT, and NASA), manufacturers of OCR (Sea-Bird Scientific, TriOS, In-Situ Marine Optics, Water Insight), calibration laboratories and national metrology institutes (DLR, HEREON, JRC, UT, NPL, INTI), institutes actively deploying the OCR (HCMR, HEREON, Sorbonne Université IMEV, CNRS LOV, JRC, IAFE CONICET/UBA), as well as software and database developers/maintainers (Brockmann Consult GmbH).

The workshop was organised as a seminar, with plenty of room for discussion after every presentation to get feedback from the community. The presentations are available at <https://frm4soc2.eumetsat.int/frm4soc-workshop-2025>.




 <p>UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory</p>	<p>EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop</p>	<p>Date: 01.01.2025 Page 6 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1</p>
--	---	--



Figure 1. Cross-section of the FRM4SOC Phase 2 workshop forum.


Tuesday, 20 May 2025

Session 1 – Calibration and characterisation of OCR

1. Opening and welcome from the director of TO
A. Tamm (UT)
2. Introduction of the agenda, practical information
R. Vendt (UT), M. Jauk (UT)
3. FRM4SOC project overview and overarching goal of the workshop
J. I. Gossn (EUMETSAT)
4. Rationale and requirements for calibration and characterisation of field OC radiometers
G. Zibordi (EOScience)
5. Guidelines for calibration and characterisation of OCR
Part 1 - Calibration
I. Ansko (UT)
6. Guidelines for calibration and characterisation of OCR
Part 2 – Characterisation
I. Ansko (UT)
7. FRM4SOC Phase 2 Laboratory Comparison and lessons learned
V. Vabson (UT)
8. Calibration and characterisation file formats
Manufacturer and FidRadDB/HyperCP format
I. Ansko (UT)

Session 2 – Groupwork

1. Groupwork rotation 1
(A: Cal/Char setups; B: Uncertainty Workshop; C: Facilities tour)
2. Groupwork rotation 2
(B: Cal/Char setups; C: Uncertainty Workshop; A: Facilities tour)

 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 7 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	--

Wednesday, 21 May 2025

Session 2 – Groupwork continues

1. Groupwork rotation 3
2. (C: Cal/Char setups; A: Uncertainty Workshop; B: Facilities tour)

Session 3 – Uncertainties

1. Uncertainty budgets in OCR calibration and characterisation
V. Vabson (UT)
2. Measurement uncertainties in processing field data with HyperCP
A. Bialek (NPL)

Session 4 – Manufacturers of OCR

1. IOCCG Joint Inter-Agency Request to OCR Manufacturers
J. I. Gossn (EUMETSAT)
2. Presentation from SeaBird Scientific
E. Rehm (SeaBird Scientific)
3. Presentation from TriOS
A. Köppen (TriOS)
4. Presentation from In-Situ Marine Optics
W. Klonowski (In-Situ Marine Optics)
5. Presentation from Water Insight (online)
S. Peters (Water Insight)

Session 5 – Implementing OCR calibration and characterisation procedures

1. Achievements and challenges at HCMR, Greece
A. C. Banks (HCMR)
2. Achievements and challenges at INTI, Argentina
J. P. Babaro (INTI)
3. Calibration and characterisation capabilities at HEREON, Germany
H. Burmester (HEREON)
4. Calibration and characterisation capabilities at JRC, European Commission
P. Sciuto (JRC-EC), G. Zibordi (EOScience)
5. Calibration and characterisation capabilities at DLR, Germany
P. Gege (DLR)

Thursday, 22 May 2025


Session 6 – Discussion and conclusions

1. Discussion "A way forward"
2. Conclusions and final remarks



PROGRAMME OF
THE EUROPEAN UNION



 <p>UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory</p>	<p>EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop</p>	<p>Date: 01.01.2025 Page 8 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1</p>
--	--	--

5. Rationale and requirements for Cal/Char of OCR

5.1 Requirements

- [1] IOCCG Protocol Series (2019). Protocols for Satellite Ocean Colour Data Validation: In Situ Optical Radiometry. Zibordi, G., Voss, K. J., Johnson, B. C. and Mueller, J. L. IOCCG Ocean Optics and Biogeochemistry Protocols for Satellite Ocean Colour Sensor Validation, Volume 3.0, IOCCG, Dartmouth, NS, Canada. <http://dx.doi.org/10.25607/OBP-691>
- [2] Addendum (June 2024) to IOCCG Protocol Series (2019) Volume 3: Request to manufacturers of in situ and above-water spectral imaging radiometers in the UV, VIS and NIR range
 - i. Provide absolute calibration coefficients with associated **uncertainties**.
 - ii. Participate in **comparison experiments** with national metrology institutes and/or secondary calibration laboratories.
 - iii. Help to **propagate FRM guidelines, procedures and tools**.
- [3] P. Goryl, N. Fox, C. Donlon, and P. Castracane, Fiducial Reference Measurements (FRMs): What Are They?, Remote Sensing, vol. 15, no. 20, Art. no. 20, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/rs15205017.
- [9] FRM4SOC-2, Reflectance Measurement Requirements Document (RMRD), Deliverable D-2, April 2023, <https://frm4soc2.eumetsat.int>
- [10] FRM4SOC-2, FRM Requirements Document for Instrument Manufacturers (RMANU), Deliverable D-27, May 2023, <https://frm4soc2.eumetsat.int>

5.2 Reaching FRM

Reaching FRM quality can be overwhelming. *In situ* radiometric measurements should be considered when adhering to FRM requirements:

Performed following

- i. published and verified, ideally community-shared, measurement protocols and
- ii. detailed quality assurance (QA) procedures.

Executed with instruments exhibiting

- i. features allowing for satisfying application needs and
- ii. documented radiometric performance (i.e., supported by absolute calibrations traceable to SI and characterisations determining instrumental biases as a function of varying measurement conditions).


Reduced and processed in agreement with community-shared protocols, supported by documented details on

- i. the flow leading to the determination of data products, including the application of radiometric calibrations and corrections for the instrumental biases,
- ii. the quality control procedures (QC), and



PROGRAMME OF
THE EUROPEAN UNION



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 9 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	---

- iii. the metrology principles applied for the determination of the uncertainty budget.

Accessible through consolidated databases supported by

- i. details on units and data formats, and
- ii. ideally, community-shared indices identifying the measurement method and the fitness for application.

5.3 IOCCG Joint Inter-Agency Request to OCR Manufacturers

- To achieve the “FRM goal”, the OC community must maintain continuous communication with manufacturers.
- The IOCCG JiAR [2] request addresses providing uncertainties for OCR calibration coefficients, but it doesn’t require manufacturers of OCR to perform a full characterisation of each instrument.

6. Calibration and characterisation of OCR

Guidelines for OCR calibration, individual characterisation programme, and recommended periods are given in IOCCG Protocols [1] and FRM4SOC-2 guides D-8 [11] and D-12 [12]. The following is considered input for amendments and updates to the guidelines D-12.


6.1 Individual and class-based characterisation

- Calibration and characterisation of OCR are required to achieve traceability to SI with adequate uncertainty evaluation.
- Radiometers must be calibrated at least once a year, or preferably before and after a measurement campaign.
- Characterisation of OCR is needed due to biases of instruments depending on varying measurement conditions.
- Full characterisation of OCR is very time-consuming and expensive. In reality, it is not possible to make a full characterisation for all instruments. Therefore, some compromises must be made.
- Recommended periods for individual characterisation are given in the IOCCG Protocols [1] and FRM4SOC-2 guides D-8 [11].
- The class-based characterisation, which evaluates the averaged bias and spread of biases across an instrument type, aims at a compromise [1], [11].
- Class-based characterisation results in higher uncertainty compared to individual characterisation. The choice between the two depends on the required uncertainty level.
- However, some uncertainties, such as those arising from the build of each instrument (e.g. angular response), still require individual characterisation.

6.2 Guidelines

There has been an ongoing debate about whether calibration laboratories should strictly adhere to prescriptive guidelines or adopt general metrology guidelines that suit their specific instruments and facilities.



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 10 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	--

- Detailed, even step-by-step, guidelines for measurement procedures and uncertainty evaluation are strongly requested by calibration laboratories and manufacturers of OCR.
- However, the implemented procedures depend on the available equipment, technical solutions (e.g. integrating spheres versus reflectance panels for radiance measurements), etc. Each laboratory must adapt the procedures to suit its specific case.
- Guidelines for harmonisation of data handling and processing are required.
- There has been some confusion because the responsivity coefficients for TriOS Ramses and Sea-Bird Scientific HyperOCR radiometers are presented differently. Therefore, it is difficult to provide a single set of guidelines that covers both cases, and differences in data processing must be carefully observed.
- Training and close cooperation within the community are necessary for harmonising procedures, calibration, characterisation, data handling, and uncertainty evaluation.

6.3 Measurement capability of laboratories


With limited resources, we need to base our focus and priorities on pragmatic considerations.

- Start with the most common instrument types: HyperOCR, Ramses, and DALEC.
- The minimum requirement for manufacturer laboratories is the ability to provide SI traceable calibration of radiometers, along with a related uncertainty statement.
- Manufacturer laboratories are also encouraged to develop characterisation capability for some of the most significant uncertainty sources, such as angular response and linearity of OCR.
- Characterisation activities could be divided into laboratories on networking principles, allowing each laboratory to focus on specific characterisations.
- The uncertainty limits should be targeted to respond to the validation requirements.
- Only a couple of laboratories with the ability to perform in-water characterisation of OCR are known. More laboratories with such capability are needed.

6.4 FEL lamps

- Reference lamps come in various designs, including holders, reference surfaces, and orientations. It is important to ensure that a lamp is used as intended.
- FEL lamps are disappearing from the market, so new alternatives must be searched for.
- Having several lamps in a lab for reference is essential.
 - Several lamps form a pool of reference, which enables checking and discovering any discrepancies in traceability.
 - Several lamps are needed to ensure the sustainability of a lab.



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 11 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	--


- FEL lamp calibration results are usually given in 5 nm, 10 nm or even 50 nm to 100 nm steps without the interpolation formula.
- Comparing various interpolation techniques directly to the Planck formula-based method shows that using data with 10 nm or smaller wavelength steps makes the calculations simpler and accurate. Using linear interpolation is also often justified with 10 nm or smaller steps. Therefore, requesting a lamp calibration certificate (or an additional data file to it) with 10 nm or smaller wavelength steps is recommended.
- If calibration results are only available with larger than 10 nm steps, more complicated interpolation methods are needed to obtain lamp irradiance values at any wavelength, and an additional increase of uncertainties for the interpolated values is to be expected.
- The recommendations will be provided in the updated version of D-12 [12].
- Recommended period for calibrating an FEL lamp is 50 working hours.
 - Some manufacturers recommend a one-year calibration period, but this is justified when the laboratory has no means of monitoring the lamp's stability.

6.5 Reflectance Panels

- Reflectance panel calibration in $0^\circ/45^\circ$ geometry should be preferred. However, this calibration is very expensive and only a few calibration laboratories can provide it (e.g. NPL and PTB).
- Manufacturers of panels provide spectral reflectance for 8° /hemispherical geometry, which must be corrected for $0^\circ/45^\circ$ geometry.
 - Several experimental datasets indicate that in the wavelength range of (300...800) nm, a correction factor of 1.024 can be used. This factor has an uncertainty of about 0.5% [13], [14].
- Linear interpolation between calibration points can be used. Further recommendations on interpolation will be provided in the updated version of D-12 [12].
- Some manufacturers of reflectance panels issue class-based calibration certificates for their products. This approach is also acceptable, but the uncertainty of the correction factor in this case is larger (more than 0.5%).

6.6 Comparison measurements

- Comparison measurements are essential for validating calibration and characterisation procedures, as well as uncertainty budgets.
- As emphasised by the IOCCG JiAR [2] request, the manufacturers of OCR are requested to take part in comparison measurement exercises.
- Comparison measurement exercises must be planned carefully. The choice of comparison objects and methods to establish the reference value depends on targeting the comparison focus (e.g. validating specific procedures, measurement capabilities of particular laboratories, or both).
- Cal/char labs as well as manufacturers of OCR have participated in several comparisons and are motivated to participate in the future.

 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 12 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	--

- There are not many laboratories available with specific measurement capabilities. More laboratories are needed to
 - share the increasing workload, and
 - establish a reliable reference pool.
- Funding for organising dedicated comparison measurements is needed.
- Financial support is needed for laboratories to participate in the comparison measurements.

7. FidradDB

The FidRadDB ("Fiducial Radiometer" Data Base) is a database containing information on radiometric calibration and characterisations done on field Ocean Colour radiometers. The objective of the FidRadDB is to centralise all existing information on cal/char of TriOS and SeaBird radiometers in the frame of the [FRM4SOC-2 project](#).


- The FidRadDB is accessible via OCDB command line client (ocdb-cli), Python API, and HyperCP community processor. For details please refer to: <https://ocdb.eumetsat.int/docs/fidrad-database.html> and <https://ocdb.eumetsat.int/docs/fidrad-api.html>
- The FidRadDB is not easy to find on the web. Promoted gateways shall be designed for <https://ocdb.eumetsat.int> and <https://ocdb.eumetsat.int/docs/>.
- OCR calibration and characterisation laboratories having appropriate capabilities (including manufacturers of OCR) can submit cal/char data to FidRadDB.
- No DOI is provided for the cal/char files.
- Guidelines on file structure and submission procedures, as well as example files, are available at <https://ocdb.eumetsat.int/docs/fidrad-database.html>. However, the information provided needs to be amended and updated.
- Files submitted to FidRadDB will pass the format check and will be rejected if any mismatch is found with the format.

8. HyperCP

HyperInSPACE (Hyperspectral In-situ Support for PACE) Community Processor ([HyperCP](#)) is an open-source processor for above-water radiometry from autonomous or manually operated in situ platforms that facilitates protocol-driven data correction and reduction, yielding high-quality surface reflectance measurements with end-to-end uncertainty analysis.

The latest version of [HyperCP](#) now supports [Sea-Bird Scientific](#) HyperSAS packages with and without SolarTracker or pySAS robotic platforms as well as [TriOS](#) used in manual configuration and IMO [DALEC](#). Support of Monocle/PML [So-Rad](#) is pending. HyperCP is compatible with FidRadDB and OCDB.



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 13 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	--

8.1 Processing regimes

At present, three processing regimes have been implemented in HyperCP.

1. Full FRM processing regime, which is able to retrieve OCR calibration and characterisation files from FidRadDB.
2. Class-based FRM processing regime,.
3. Factory processing regime (non-FRM, no calibration uncertainties).

However, a "Hybrid FRM" processing regime (i.e. a combination of "Full FRM" and "Class-based FRM" would be the most practical one.


- Given the limited resources available for OCR calibration and characterisation, the Hybrid FRM regime is likely to be the most deployed.
- The implementation of the Hybrid FRM regime is planned for the next development phases of the HyperCP.
- In practice, the Class-based FRM regime will evolve into the Hybrid FRM regime by incorporating individual characterisation options.

8.2 Evaluation of the drift of OCR properties between calibrations

- If an OCR has undergone multiple calibrations over time, there are several options for applying the calibration coefficients:
 - use the most recent coefficients by default,
 - choose specific coefficients for a given time period (e.g. reprocessing old data),
 - evaluate the drift between two recent calibrations.
- It's important to check the properties of OCR before and after the campaign. Depending on the type of deployment, these changes should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
- For example, if the drift is small, then the average of two calibrations or interpolations for the time period can be used.
- However, if an instrument experiences failures or changes in its optical properties, such as a broken diffuser, averaging or interpolation of calibration results becomes impossible. In such cases, specific thresholds for certain spectral ranges can be established for evaluation.
- After-campaign checks can also be made for certain wavelengths to save limited resources.

8.3 General notes

- HyperCP does not perform tilt correction, but tilt can be filtered and reported using the ship attitude variables.
- HyperCP still needs to be tested for extremely turbid waters.
- High solar zenith angles may become critical for the rho correction. Polarisation may play an important role, but this has not yet been assessed.
- Photos of the sky and water are only considered qualitatively. Therefore, a generic operational assessment of superstructure perturbations cannot be derived from these photos.
- The HyperCP does not process in-water measurements.

 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 14 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	--

9. Manufacturers view

Presentations were given by

- SeaBird Scientific (E. Rehm),
- TriOS (A. Köppen),
- In-Situ Marine Optics (W. Klonowski),
- Water Insight (online, S. Peters).

Manufacturers of OCR

- are very much motivated to work closely with the OCR community and strive to meet the FRM requirements [1], [2], [3],
- are open to recommendations for procedures and technical solutions to implement these in the development process,
- have participated in several comparison campaigns and are also motivated to participate in future,
- need detailed prescriptive guidelines for calibration, characterisation, uncertainty evaluation, and requirements for quality management (e.g. ISO certification or accreditation),
- need guidelines on how much of the OCR characterisations manufacturers need to perform,
- can provide some characterisations of OCR, but (due to high costs) will never be able to provide a full characterisation for each instrument.

10. Cal/Char lab view

Presentations were given by

- HCMR, Greece (A. C. Banks),
- INTI, Argentina (J. P. Babaro),
- HEREON, Germany (H. Burmester),
- JRC, EC, (G. Zibordi),
- DLR, Germany (P. Gege).

Motivation

Continue contributing to the European/International effort to ensure and improve the quality of ocean colour satellite data by

- calibrating own OCR sensors,
- providing OCR calibration service in the region,
- and developing experience and capabilities.

Challenges

(especially when starting a new laboratory)

- lack of funding, space, and motivated and qualified personnel,
- sustainability of resources (facilities and personnel),
- maintaining traceability to SI,
- procurements (regulations, possible providers, affordability),
- meeting the requirements for facilities, equipment, methods, procedures, and quality management (especially when starting a new laboratory).




PROGRAMME OF
THE EUROPEAN UNION



IMPLEMENTED BY



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 15 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	---

Needs

- an understanding of the requirements and needs,
- detailed guidelines on cal/char procedures, uncertainty evaluation, and quality management (e.g. requirements for ISO certification or accreditation),
- availability of suitable comparison measurements,
- financial support for participation in comparison exercises.


11. Achieving FRM-compliant laboratory status

- General guidelines (CEOS approach) to achieve FRM-compliant laboratory status are given in

[3] P. Goryl, N. Fox, C. Donlon, and P. Castracane, ‘Fiducial Reference Measurements (FRMs): What Are They?’, Remote Sensing, vol. 15, no. 20, Art. no. 20, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/rs15205017.

- The CEOS FRM approach uses a software tool for self-evaluation of FRM status. However, it lacks guidelines for implementation in the OC domain.
- The OC community follows the technical framework provided by the IOCCG Protocols [1].
- The guidelines to achieve FRM quality in the OC domain are given in the FRM4SOC-2 report D-2 “Reflectance Measurement Requirements Document (RMRD) [9].
- The CEOS FRM and FRM4SOC D-2 guidelines agree on the requirements for quality management in an FRM laboratory:
 - Maintaining a quality management system (e.g., ISO 17025 or equivalent) and ensuring traceability of measurements to the units of SI with adequate evaluation of measurement uncertainty.
 - Accreditation of the quality management system is not always necessary. Instead, organisations can self-evaluate their compliance with requirements and be prepared to provide relevant documentation at all times and have independent experts perform peer-review audits of the quality management system.



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 16 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	--

12. Guidelines and recommendations for the manufacturers of OCR


The following is considered input for amendments and updates to the guidelines FRM4SOC-2 D-27 [10].

- Manufacturers of OCR need clarification on the requirements listed in D-27 [10].
- The minimum set of essential requirements is listed in the IOCCG JiAR [2] request
 - Provide absolute calibration coefficients with associated **uncertainties**.
 - Participate in **comparison experiments** with national metrology institutes and/or secondary calibration laboratories.
 - Help to **propagate FRM guidelines, procedures and tools**.
- Manufacturers are also encouraged to develop characterisation capability for some of the most significant uncertainty sources, such as angular response and linearity of OCR.

Recommendations for the manufacturers of OCR.

- Manufacturers of OCR systems should be able to demonstrate their ability to provide high-quality angular diffusers. The choice of a suitable material, such as fused silica, is of great importance.
- Such ability involves maintaining a laboratory for the characterisation of angular diffusers, and also publishing papers and reports on results.
- Full characterisation of OCR with extensive analysis of the results is not expected from manufacturers, however this is still encouraged.
- Manufacturers of OCR could address a maximum uncertainty level that a user would consider for buying the instrument. For example, having the capability to evaluate uncertainty contributions, giving the largest contribution (~3...10%) to the overall uncertainty budget.
- The maximum permitted working temperature for an OCR should be higher than +40 °C. The radiometers may have higher temperatures in field conditions.




 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 17 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	--

13. Conclusions

- All laboratories across the world face a lack of experienced staff and funding issues.
- Initiatives such as FRM4SOC are essential to keep the community motivated to progress and face the local challenges in a cooperative, coordinated way.
- Achieving and promoting the FRM standard for OCR is a hard task, but not impossible. The FRM4SOC consortium is willing to spread the expertise and support the OC community.
- Several guidelines are available for calibrating and characterising OCR, as well as evaluating uncertainty. However, these guidelines require regular updating and clarification.
- Regular training, workshops, and visits to other laboratories are necessary to ensure a harmonised understanding and implementation of the guidelines.
- Regular dedicated comparison exercises are required.
- Financial support for organising as well as participation in the comparison exercises is needed.
- Manufacturers should consistently prioritise adhering to the IOCCG JiAR [2] request and strive to meet the requirements listed in FRM4SOC-2 D-2 [9] and D-27 [10]. Open dialogue between space agencies and manufacturers could foster a sustainable business case for compliance.
- FRMOCnet is planning to expand to new instrument classes, systems, and methods (e.g. IMO DALEC, So-RAD, in-water measurements).
- Development of the HyperCP must be continued – the implementation of the Hybrid FRM regime is needed to support users having only some of the instrument-specific characterisations.



 UNIVERSITY OF TARTU Tartu Observatory	EUMETSAT Contract No. EUM/CO/21/460002539/JIG Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC Phase-2) Proceedings of the second workshop	Date: 01.01.2025 Page 18 (18) Ref: FRM4SOC2-OPT2-D23b Ver: 1.1
--	--	--

- [1] IOCCG Protocol Series, 'Protocols for Satellite Ocean Colour Data Validation: In Situ Optical Radiometry. Vol. 3.0', International Ocean Colour Coordinating Group (IOCCG), Report, 2019. doi: 10.25607/OBP-691.
- [2] IOCCG, 'Addendum to IOCCG Protocols Volume 3. Satellite Ocean Color Data Validation. In situ Optical Radiometry. Request to manufacturers of in situ and above-water spectral imaging radiometers in the UV, VIS and NIR range.' IOCCG, Jun. 2024.
- [3] P. Goryl, N. Fox, C. Donlon, and P. Castracane, 'Fiducial Reference Measurements (FRMs): What Are They?', *Remote Sensing*, vol. 15, no. 20, Art. no. 20, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/rs15205017.
- [4] A. C. Banks *et al.*, 'Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC)', *Remote Sensing*, vol. 12, no. 8, Art. no. 8, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.3390/rs12081322.
- [5] 'Fiducial Reference Measurements for Satellite Ocean Colour (FRM4SOC) Phase 2'. [Online]. Available: <https://frm4soc2.eumetsat.int>
- [6] D. Aurin *et al.*, *HyperInSPACE Community Processor (HyperCP)*. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/nasa/HyperCP/tree/master>
- [7] U. Lange, S. Embacher, H. Dzierzon, H. Lavigne, and C. Brockmann, 'Copernicus Ocean Colour Database (FidRadDB)'. [Online]. Available: <https://ocdb.eumetsat.int/docs/fidrad-database.html>
- [8] 'Ocean Colour In-Situ Database (OCDB)'. [Online]. Available: <https://ocdb.eumetsat.int>
- [9] FRM4SOC-2, K. Ruddick, J. I. Gossn, and E. Kwiatkowska, 'D-2, FRM4SOC Phase 2 Technical Report: Specifications of minimum requirements for qualification of individual OCRs and their measurements as FRM and process for inclusion of any new instrument models and measurements in the FRM4OCnet. (Reflectance Measurement Requirements Document - RMRD)', D-2, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://frm4soc2.eumetsat.int>
- [10] FRM4SOC-2, K. Ruddick, J. I. Gossn, and E. Kwiatkowska, 'D-27, FRM4SOC Phase 2 Technical Report: Specifications of minimum requirements for qualification of OCR instrument as FRM instruments for manufacturers. (FRM Requirements Document for Instrument Manufacturers - RMANU)', D-27, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://frm4soc2.eumetsat.int>
- [11] FRM4SOC-2 *et al.*, 'D-8, FRM4SOC Phase 2 Technical Report: Guidelines for individual OCR full characterisation and calibration', D-8, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://frm4soc2.eumetsat.int>
- [12] FRM4SOC-2 *et al.*, 'D-12, FRM4SOC Phase 2 Technical Report: Harmonised cal/char lab guidelines, including lab protocols for FRMOCnet OCR models', D-12, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://frm4soc2.eumetsat.int>
- [13] A. Białek *et al.*, 'Results from Verification of Reference Irradiance and Radiance Sources Laboratory Calibration Experiment Campaign', *Remote Sensing*, vol. 12, no. 14, Art. no. 14, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.3390/rs12142220.
- [14] H. W. Yoon, D. W. Allen, G. P. Eppeldauer, and B. K. Tsai, 'The extension of the NIST BRDF scale from 1100 nm to 2500 nm', in *Proc. SPIE 7452, Earth Observing Systems XIV*, Aug. 2009, pp. 745204–1 to 12. doi: 10.1117/12.827293.

